Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/802,419	VAN GELDER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jessica L. Reidel	3766
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) <u>Jessica L. Reidel</u> .	(3)	
(2) Paul H. McDowall.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 February 2007	Time: <u>1:30 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
,	,	·
Prior art documents discussed:	•	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	he examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
·		•
	 	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	nt/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant and Examiner agreed to omit the phrases "adequate" and "inadequate" from Claim 1 since such limitations were not supported by the drawnings or Applicant's disclosure. The method of the claimed invention pertains to determining whether or not fusion occurred (i.e. yes or no).